## Indium-Indium Pair Correlation and Surface Segregation in InGaAs Alloys

Jun-Hyung Cho, S.B. Zhang, and Alex Zunger

National Renewable Energy Laboratory, Golden, Colorado 80401

(Received 28 May 1999)

In-In pair correlations and In surface segregation in In  $Ga_{1-}$  As alloys are studied by first-principles total-energy calculations. By calculating the substitution energy of a single In atom, we find that the near-surface energetics explains the observed In segregation on InGaAs(001)- $\beta$ 2(2 × 4) surfaces. Indium surface segregation further enhances the In site selectivity, thus the long-range ordering. We find that the [110] and [001] In-In pair correlations are repulsive and nearly isotropic in bulk but are highly anisotropic near the (001) surface. The sign of the [110] In-In interaction energies vs the distance from the surface is oscillatory. These findings explain the recent puzzling cross-sectional ×-STM results.

PACS numbers: 68.35.Bs, 73.20.-r, 79.60.Jv

Interest in spontaneous ordering [1], composition modulation [2,3], and segregation [4,5]in III-V alloys raised the question of the type of atom-atom interactions that exist at the surface of such alloys. Attractive (repulsive) effective)

at +59 meV/pair at =3. For =2, however, only the nn pairs involving the strained sites directly under the As-As dimers (i.e., 2c and 2c') remain repulsive, while others become strongly attractive. Thus, elasticity theory misses the nonmonotonic behavior of  $J_{\rm nn}$  while predicting correctly the reversal of the sign of  $J_{\rm nn}$  at =2. For =1,  $J_{\rm nn}^{[110]}$  is attractive. To test experimentally the attractive interaction on the =1 surface layer, one needs to perform an *in situ* STM study of the growth surface, not by post-growth  $\times$ -STM. So far, we are not aware of such a study.

Our results summarized in Table I provide a theoretical